

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,630	09/27/2001	Jason K. Shiepe	PES-0043	2487	
23462	7590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER		
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP - PROTON			CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
•• •	ROAD SOUTH D, CT 06002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
D200111122	2, 31 3332		1745		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/965,630		SHIEPE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	

	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expir	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	•	· · ·	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 		ecause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9.18 and 19.		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,5-8,15-17,20-25,51 and 57-67</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 2,5-6,15-77,20-25,57 and 57-67. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ← 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
10. 🗀 Guiei		Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner	

Primary Exami Art Unit: 1745

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner's comments from the "Repsonse to Arguments" in the previous office remain generally applicable herein. In particular, it is believed that Wilson's and Speranza's indication of specific structures and materials as being useful for an intended purpose (fuel cell support members) is sufficient to render the claimed invention obvious in light of Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp. 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945), and MPEP 2144.07. In addition, it is submitted that the metallic members disclosed by Wilson possess high electrical conductivity and mechanical strength, and the metals of Speranza possess high corrosion resistance. Therefore, it is believed that motivation and a reasonable expectation of success exist in making the proposed combination of references.

Regarding the rejection over the Mussell reference, as previously stated, there is believed to be both motivation and a reasonable expectation of success in making the proposed combination.